

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA141891**

Filing date: **05/22/2007**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91174523
Party	Defendant Kyron Clinical Imaging, inc. Kyron Clinical Imaging, inc. Suite 202 2457 North Mayfair Road Wauwatosa, WI 53226 mkeipdocket@michaelbest.com
Correspondence Address	LORI S. MEDDINGS MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP SUITE 3300 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 lsmeddings@michaelbest.com, avkossoris@michaelbest.com, mkeipdocket@michaelbest.com
Submission	Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	Amy Vandamme Kossoris
Filer's e-mail	avkossoris@michaelbest.com, lsmeddings@michaelbest.com
Signature	/Amy Vandamme Kossoris/
Date	05/22/2007
Attachments	A2101059.PDF (3 pages)(19259 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NOVARTIS AG,

Opposer,

v.

Opposition No. 91174523

KYRON CLINICAL IMAGING, INC.,

Applicant.

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER

Applicant Kyron Clinical Imaging, Inc. (“Applicant”) hereby requests a thirty (30) day extension of the time to answer in the above-identified Opposition.

Opposer Novartis AG (“Opposer”) filed its Notice of Opposition on December 13, 2006. Applicant’s Answer would have been due on or before January 22, 2007. By the first week of January, Applicant had contacted Opposer and provided significant information regarding Applicant’s products and services and the differences between Applicant’s and Opposer’s products in an effort to resolve this matter without further Board involvement. To permit Opposer an opportunity to review the information provided by Applicant, Opposer agreed to a 60-day extension of Applicant’s time to answer. Applicant’s Answer would then have been due on or before March 23, 2007.

As the March 23rd deadline approached, and Opposer had not yet responded to the materials provided by Applicant, Applicant requested an additional 60-day extension of the time to answer. Opposer agreed, and Applicant’s deadline to answer was extended to May 22, 2007.

Opposer recently advised that despite the materials provided by Applicant, Opposer intends to proceed with this Opposition Proceeding. Prior to the expiration of the deadline, Applicant requested an additional 30-day extension of its time to answer. Opposer would not consent to a extension of Applicant's time to answer. This request is made to allow Applicant an opportunity to appropriately respond to Opposer's Notice of Opposition and is not made for purposes of delay. Applicant is also hopeful that further settlement discussions will take place.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board grant this motion to extend Applicant's time to answer.

Respectfully submitted,

KYRON CLINICAL IMAGING, INC.

Dated: May 22, 2007

By: Amy Vandamme Kossoris
Amy Vandamme Kossoris
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 271-6560
Attorneys for Applicant Kyron Clinical
Imaging, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER has been served on Opposer by sending the same by electronic mail and first class mail to Opposer's Attorney:

Maury M. Tepper, III
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC
150 Fayetteville Street Mall, Suite 2100
P.O. Box 831
Raleigh, NC 27602

on the 22nd day of May, 2007.

/Amy Vandamme Kossoris/
Amy Vandamme Kossoris